1	
2	
3	OPEN MEETING LAW REGULATION
4	
5	
6	PUBLI C HEARI NG
7	
8	
9	University of Massachusetts - Dartmouth 715 Purchase Street
10	New Bedford, Massachusetts 02740
11	Wednesday, August 11, 2010
12	PANEL:
13	From the Attorney General's Office
14	Britte McBride Jennifer Grace Miller
15	Jonathan Sclarsic
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	REPORTERS, INC.
22	CAPTURI NG THE OFFICIAL RECORD 617. 786. 7783/FACSI MI LE 617. 639. 0396 www. reportersi nc. com
23	www. reportersine. com
24	

			_
1	I NDEX	Dago	
2		Page	
3	Opening Remarks Britte McBride	3	
4	Public Testimony	5	
5	Closing Remarks Britte McBride	15	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

PROCEEDINGS

٠.

BRITTE MCBRIDE: We're going to get started. Good afternoon. My name is Britte McBride and I am the Director of Division of Open Government in the Attorney General's office. With me from the Attorney General's office are Jennifer Miller, Chief of the Government Bureau and Jonathan Sclarsic, Assistant Attorney General in the Division of Open Government.

Today is Wednesday, August 11, 2010, and this is a public hearing to receive testimony on the Open Meeting Law regulations included at 940 CMR 29.00 as promulgated by the Attorney General. These regulations were promulgated as emergency regulations on July 1, 2010. This hearing is being held pursuant to Chapter 30A, Sections 2 and 3 of the General Laws and under the authority granted to the Attorney General by Chapter 30A, Sections 25(a) and (b) of the General Laws. The notice for this hearing was published in the State Register by the Secretary of State on July 23, 2010. The purpose of these

3

6

7

5

8

9 10

1112

1314

15

16

1718

19

20

2122

23

24

regulations is to interpret, enforce and effectuate the purposes of the Open Meeting Law Chapter 30A, Sections 18 through 25 of the General Laws.

This is the fourth and final hearing the Attorney General's office is holding to holding to receive comments on these regulations.

The purpose of this hearing is to receive comments on the emergency We ask that you limit the scope regulations. of your comments during this hearing to the regulations before us. We are eager to hear oral testimony from anyone who wishes to speak, and we ask is that those who wish to testify sign up on the sheet outside of the We'll call individuals to testify in door. the order in which they have signed up. addition, there are copies of the regulations available outside the door if you require We have a stenographer transcribing them. the testimony, so we ask that you make your best effort to speak clearly and before you begin your testimony, please state and spell

your name so that it's represented correctly in the record.

Finally, public comment on the Open Meeting Law regulations will be remain open until August 18th. We will accept written comments today during this hearing. You may also submit written comments through the close of business on August 18th either through e-mail to openmeeting@state.ma.us or through postal mail to the office of the Attorney General. Additional information pertaining to the Open Meeting Law, these regulations and hearings may be found on the Attorney General's website.

And with that we will call our first person to testify. Ross Perry.

ROSS PERRY: Thank you. Thank you.

I'm Ross Perry, R-o-s-s P-e-r-r-y. I
represent SERPED which is Southeast Regional
Planning and Economic Development District.

As a regional body, SERPED is committed to full compliance to the Open Meeting Law and I appreciate the opportunity to talk with you today and pass on some of our concerns.

_ .

Section 29.03, I'll paraphrase this, refers to notice for posting requirements. Requirements specific to a regional or district public body, the requirement to post notice in each city and town within the region in the manner prescribed or selected by the local public bodies in that town. So this is sort of where I'm trying to focus my concerns.

We find this -- these requirements as worded to be actually very onerous especially for a regional body that covers a large area. In the case of SERPED we cover 27 communities. For some of the Homeland Security projects we represent -- we cover 96 communities.

The first burden in complying with this section is logistics of actually communicating with each city and town. The second is the difficulty of creating a meeting posting in the manner prescribed for or selected by the local public body. This can result in the case of a regional body like SERPED having to construct dozens of

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

different -- differently formatted meeting notices. As I mentioned, 27 for our member communities, 96 with some for Homeland Securities. So if each town has a different prescribed manner of posting, it's a major burden for us to do this for every one of those meetings.

The next challenge is actually arranging for someone to post these meetings. The requirement as stated above was for us to post it in the local town or city. For us to get to 27 communities or 97 for Homel and Security projects to post it is rather di ffi cul t. We have really have no way to control, we have no authority over anybody in those towns and have no way to ensure that the posting actually occurs. Yet as I understand the regulation, if the posting doesn't occur, then we can essentially be in violation of this provision. The Open Meeting Law allows county public bodies to post notices in the county office and it allows state public bodies to post it on a So today I'm asking or proposing web site.

that the Attorney General consider allowing regional public bodies to post notices according to the same procedures as county or state public bodies. If this approach is not deemed to be feasible, then adopting a universal meeting notice format will at least make the posting in multiple jurisdictions a little more efficient by allowing us to use a single consistent notice format in each of these towns.

Section 29.04 mentions alternative notice posting methods, paragraph five. It allows a local public body to meet its notice requirement by providing an audio recording of the meeting notices available to the public by telephone at all hours. So we'd ask that you consider allowing these means of meeting posting for a regional body as well.

As a regional body, we often have dozens of meetings each month and posting notices in each community for each meeting can be very time consuming. If the requirement for notice postings in each local city and town is not changed as I've

1 highlighted before, then we ask you to allow 2 us to consider at least combining these 3 together in order to have one posting for 4 multiple meetings. 5 That's all I've got to say. Thank you 6 very much for the opportunity to comment on 7 this regulation. 8 BRITTE MCBRIDE: Thank you. 9 At this point in time no one else has 10 signed up to testify. 11 Would anyone else like to come up and 12 offer testimony? 13 (No response). 14 BRITTE MCBRIDE: If no one wishes to 15 testify at this time, we will recess the We will be here if other 16 heari ng. 17 individuals want to come and want to offer 18 testimony, by all means we will accept it. 19 For the time being we will stand in recess 20 until five o'clock in the event that no one 21 else appears to testify. If anyone does 22 appear prior to that time, we'll reopen the 23 hearing at that point in time. 24 Thank you.

(A short recess was taken.)

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

BRITTE MCBRIDE: It's 4:45 p.m. on Wednesday, August 11th. We're going to reconvene the public hearing on the Open Meeting Law regulations that concluded at 940 CMR 29.00.

Mr. Ossie Jordan is being called to testi fy.

> OSSI E JORDAN: Good afternoon.

BRITTE MCBRIDE: Good afternoon.

OSSIE JORDAN: I'm Ossie Jordan with the notable Brockton Water Commission. a little bit in the newspaper recently and other media. I guess more of a -- kind of a question more than a comment per se, although comments could lead to this I guess. the situation, if for instance we're all new commissioners that are on the water commission since March of this year. If we wanted to do something simple like decide who might be the spokesperson to talk to the media and we wanted to have kind of an internal discussion, is that something that we can do either in Executive Session if need

be, or does it have to be in the open session since we do have the news media sitting there taking every little anything that we say the whole time?

particular juncture the hearing is to receive public comment on the regulations. By all means the Division of Open Government and that's Jonathan or I would be happy to entertain the specific inquiry, not in the public quorum of receiving comments on these regulations before us. And both of us are happy to give you our information and then we can have that conversation with you about kind of a specific questions about the law.

Is there anything further on the regulation?

OSSIE JORDAN: No, but then with that there are times that I'm not sure the I aw allows and I guess that's where it's unclear to individuals out there when you can do certain things. Executive, it's pretty clear on some things with personnel. Some other kinds of things that you need to deal.

20

21

22

23

24

But there are other times that you just need, especially when you're brand new, what are you going to talk about which I'm sure you folks did before you came down here who is going to be the spokesperson, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera. You don't want to do that specifically in an open meeting. sometimes there's a discussion on should it be you, should it be I, should it be somebody That seems to be something that you el se. wouldn't want to have in an open forum. The law doesn't seem to address that per se. And I just use that as an example. And there are many things like that that do come on during the meeting that you may want to discuss how you want to actually address something and not looking for the law to address each and every little subject, but certainly something like the example I gave before it was there or some examples whether it be an addendum or what have you might be helpful to individuals so that they can understand what's what. just finished doing 38 years of what you're doing, so I know it gets down to specifics.

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And the largest problem quite often when you promulgate any law is the pieces between the lines, grant you that's why we have legal departments for and keep them very busy along with the courts. But a lot of these issues can be resolved if people would understand a little bit what's going on.

I know there's been some addressing of the new technology that the law didn't talk about in the past. And that's somewhat clear, but the every day kind of process, the every day situation that may come up, issues of recess, can you go out and talk amongst yourselves? And once you have a quorum of individuals, it seems like it's impossible to say anything without it being in the public media because it's construed that you're doing something behind the scenes and it might be just a clarification on, you know, who is going to lead the group or something. You don't want to look like you're not prepared or you don't know what you're talking about. You have enough criticism from the public and the media on a regular

1

2

3

4

5

It's these kinds of things just add basi s. So, without, like I said, having a to it. million different examples because you'll never be able to answer all of them anyway, because there would always be something new. But at least a few as an addendum or some kind of a -- yeah, I guess it would be an addendum to the regulation would be helpful on suggestions on things. And I mean you folks get them just like I used to all the time, so this is not -- you can call it quidance and maybe emphasize that it is That it's not law. qui dance. And it's just something for you to utilize as a way of dealing with a particular issue or issues. Because a lot of that would be helpful for Other than that I think that's about it. US. BRITTE MCBRIDE: Thank you very much.

There is a public comment period that's open until August 18th which is next Wednesday. In the event that you'd like to submit anything in writing, we would certainly be interested this hearing your

1	comments.
2	OSSIE JORDAN: Thank you very much.
3	BRITTE MCBRIDE: Is there anyone
4	else to testify?
5	(No response.)
6	BRITTE MCBRIDE: At this time there
7	is no one to testify. We are going to stand
8	in recess until 5:15.
9	(A short recess was taken.)
10	BRITTE MCBRIDE: It is 5:43 on
11	Wednesday, August 11, 2010. Seeing no one
12	else who is going to testify, we are going to
13	recess this hearing and the public comment
14	period will be open until August 18, 2010.
15	Thank you very much.
16	(Hearing Concluded at 5:43 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS BRI STOL, SS.
4	I, Catherine Lawson Zelinski, a
5	Certified Shorthand Reporter, the undersigned Notary Public, certify that:
6	I am not related to any of the parties
7	I am not related to any of the parties in this matter by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.
8	
9	I further certify that the testimony hereinbefore set forth is a true and accurate
10	transcription of my stenographic notes to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
12	my hand this 16th day of August 2010.
13	Cothoni no L. Zol i poki
14	Catherine L. Zelinski Notary Public
15	Certi fi ed Shorthand Reporter Li cense No. 147703
16	My Commission Expires:
17	April 23, 2015 '
18	
19	THE EODEONING CERTIFICATION OF THE
20	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION
21	OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR DIRECTION OF THE
22	CERTI FYI NG REPORTER.
23	
24	